## Your grade is

6.8 / 10.					SCALES		
RITERIA	Criteria Description	Percentage	10 out of 10	8 out of 10	6 out of 10	4 out of 10	2 out of 10
	Does the presentation include a clear, relevant, and precise thesis statement that directly answers the assignment's question?		Exceptional The presentation's thesis statement is clearly presented at the start of the presentation. The thesis statement as the statement a	Very Good The presentation's thesis statement is presented at the start of the presented at the start of the presented at metal the statement is presented at metal the statement is relatively clear and soundly-based but not necessarily convincing. Your thesis statement directly answered the assignment's question but the solution that you proposed lacked clarify. I was confused during the first part of your presentation, given that you falsely assumed that "industrial"	the presentation. The thresis statement is relatively clear but only indirectly answers the assignment's question.	Improving The presentation's thesis statement is presented at the start of the start of the presentation. However, the thesis statement is does not answer the assignment's question.	statement is presented. There is no agenda. The audience is not sure what to expect with the presentation
	support the presentation's thesis statement? Is the argument presented logically valid?		The premises provide strong support for the presenter's thesis statement. It is very clear that the argument is logically valid.	The premises provide ample support for the presenter's thesis statement. It is clear that the argument is logically valid.	statement but it is unclear if the argument is logically valid.	The premises provide some support for the presenter's thesis statement but the argument is logically invalid. Your argument was not valid because, while your conclusion pertained to "industrial agriculture", one of your premises was rather about "industrial meat production" all of the premises had to pertain to "industrial agriculture" for your argument to be valid.	
Explicit Use of at Least Two Peer- Reviewed Academic Articles (Truth of the Premises)	Is each of the premises supported by (at least) one peer-reviewed academic article?		Each of the premises is supported by (a least) one relevant least) one relevant epeer-reviewed academic article. It is very clear that the premises are factually true.	Each of the premises is supported by (at least) one peer-reviewed academic article. It is relatively clear that the premises are factually true.	One of the premises is not supported by (at least) one peer-reviewed academic article. It is nevertheless probable that the premises are factually true. One of your premises is false because of the way that it is worded. This is the premise that states that by not producing large quantities of food, we will necessarily increase the diversity of plant species. This is false because the scale of production is only one factor	It is unclear if the premises are factually true or not.	It is not reasonable to assume that the premises are factually true.
Legitimate Objection and Valid Reply to It	Does the presentation end with a legitimate objection to the argument presented? Is a valid reply to this objection offered?		The presentation ends with a legitimate objection to the argument presented. A valid reply to this objection is also offered. The objections and the replies that you have identified are very ingenious. Well done!	The presentation ends with an objection to the argument presented. This objection is a "straw man", however. A valid reply to this objection is also offered.	The presentation ends with a "straw man"	The presentation ends with a "straw man" objection to the argument presented and no reply to it is offered.	The presentation does not include any objection to the argument presented.
Content Organization	How well is the content of your presentation organized? Does the presenter rely on a clear and concise PowerPoint presentation (or other visual materials)?	20	The presentation materials are very well-organized—the information presented is very easy to follow and matches the presenter's speech.	The presentation materials are organized, the information can be information can be followed, and there is a connection to the presenter's speech.	Presentation materials are somewhat organized. Some of the information can be followed. There is some connection to the presenter's speech. Some of your premises could have been better illustrated with pictures and / or graphs. It was sometimes difficult to follow your claims partly because there was too much text on many of your slides.	Some presentation materials are present, but it is not organized. There is a slight connection to the presenter's speech.	No presentation materials are present. There's not a clear connection between visual materials that are used and the presenter's speech.