Project Proposal/Annotated Bibliography Complete Draft for Peer Review (February 10) Final Submission: (February 15) 5% of Final Course Grade

Imagine that you are applying for research funding from Canada's Social Science and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC). The grant committee, made up of experts from the field of affective studies, is only able to fund one out of every five proposals submitted. The committee is looking for three elements in a successful proposal. The application must:

- 1) Demonstrate the author's expertise in the academic literature on the topic (which is well defined and manageable for a paper that will end up being 1250-1500 words).
- 2) Show how the project will make a fresh contribution to the literature that both advances scholarship *and* has wider public benefits.
- 3) Be elegantly written and show mastery of the conventions of MLA style.

The application is divided into two parts: the proposal and the annotated bibliography.

The proposal provides the committee with an <u>overview of your topic</u>, the <u>research</u> <u>questions</u> you will be asking, and how you expect that your paper will <u>advance</u> <u>scholarship and the public good</u>. It should also contain your <u>working hypothesis</u>, which your research will be testing. **To demonstrate your expertise**, you should reference at least two of your sources in the proposal section. The proposal section of the application should <u>not be longer than 350 words</u>. Brevity is everything. Make every sentence count!

The proposal should grab the jury's attention with the significance of your topic, the pertinence of your research questions, and your clear answer to the "so what" question. That is, why should the committee care about your project? Remember, the committee receives thousands of applications each year. They don't have a lot of time to go through each one. You need to make your own stand out.

Following the proposal, you will include your <u>annotated bibliography</u>. The bibliography must include at least **three peer-reviewed sources (academic articles or books; not book reviews)**. The source must come from **at least two academic disciplines** such as psychology, psychoanalysis, philosophy, history, or sociology. (Note the discipline in your annotation). You may also include up to two additional non-peer reviewed sources to help provide context and conflict for your project. Unless there is a compelling reason, your sources should have been published since the year **2000** to reflect up to date research in the field. The <u>annotations</u> should let us the jury know the source's principal argument, research methods, and most importantly, how you plan to use it in your project (context, evidence, counterargument, etc.). Each annotation should be a brief paragraph

(apx. 75-125 words). They must be in your own words; not copied from abstracts or the source itself. Complete the bibliography in MLA style.

<u>Please note that you will be adding and subtracting sources throughout the semester.</u> This will **not** be the final bibliography for your project.

SSHRC EVALUATION RUBRIC /10

PROPOSAL: Outlines manageable	5
research topic for first essay /Quality	
of well-informed research questions/	
Integrates two citations/Demonstration	
of topic's academic and public	
relevance	
ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY:	3
Three academic sources published	
post-2000; Quality of	
Annotations/Relevance of Sources to	
your project explained/At least two	
disciplines included	
MLA FORMAT and QUALITY OF	2
WRITING (please note that quality of	
writing is also implicit in the other	
sections; if your ideas aren't clearly	
explained, the reader won't be able to	
follow your points.)	