

NAMES : _____

TOPIC : _____

| |
|---|
| Grading scheme for code /5 (5% for semester) |
| 6/ Code is above expectation, uses classes or other 'fancy' methods |
| 5/ Code works, is well written and easy to understand |
| 4/ Code works, but is hard to follow or has minor mistakes |
| 2/ Code compiles, but isn't fully working |
| 0/ Code does not compile |
| |
| Grading scheme for report (6% of semester) |
| Problem definition: |
| 5/ Good problem, well defined and put in context |
| 4/ Problem is adequately stated but lacks context |
| 3/ Problem is stated, but inadequately |
| 0/ Problem not stated |
| Model/numerical method: |
| 15/ Model/numerical method are valid, clearly explained for intended audience and assumptions are discussed |
| 11/ Model/numerical method are valid, but explanations are insufficient for intended audience |
| 8/ Model/numerical method are incomplete or really poorly explained |
| 0/ Model is not valid |
| Code testing: |
| 5/ Code is tested against relevant accepted results. |
| 3.5/ Code is tested, but the test is not complete |
| 2/ Code is tested, but the test is not relevant |
| 0/ Code not tested. |
| Results and conclusion |
| 10/ Results obtained are interesting, well analyzed and go beyond simple reproduction. |
| 8/ Results are interesting and well analyzed but not original |
| 5/ Results are present, but not analyzed and really basic |
| 0/ No results |
| Appearance of the report |
| 5/ Genre, length and presentation good; no spelling/grammar errors |
| 4/ Minor issues with genre, length, presentation, spelling/grammar |
| 2/ Significant issues with genre, length, presentation, spelling/grammar |
| 0/ Paper very poorly presented |

Comments :

/5 CODE
/40 REPORT

NAMES : _____

TOPIC : _____

| |
|---|
| Grading scheme for code /5 (5% for semester) |
| 6/ Code is above expectation, uses classes or other 'fancy' methods |
| 5/ Code works, is well written and easy to understand |
| 4/ Code works, but is hard to follow or has minor mistakes |
| 2/ Code compiles, but isn't fully working |
| 0/ Code does not compile |
| |
| Grading scheme for report (6% of semester) |
| Problem definition: |
| 5/ Good problem, well defined and put in context |
| 4/ Problem is adequately stated but lacks context |
| 3/ Problem is stated, but inadequately |
| 0/ Problem not stated |
| Model/numerical method: |
| 15/ Model/numerical method are valid, clearly explained for intended audience and assumptions are discussed |
| 11/ Model/numerical method are valid, but explanations are insufficient for intended audience |
| 8/ Model/numerical method are incomplete or really poorly explained |
| 0/ Model is not valid |
| Code testing: |
| 5/ Code is tested against relevant accepted results. |
| 3.5/ Code is tested, but the test is not complete |
| 2/ Code is tested, but the test is not relevant |
| 0/ Code not tested. |
| Results and conclusion |
| 10/ Results obtained are interesting, well analyzed and go beyond simple reproduction. |
| 8/ Results are interesting and well analyzed but not original |
| 5/ Results are present, but not analyzed and really basic |
| 0/ No results |
| Appearance of the report |
| 5/ Genre, length and presentation good; no spelling/grammar errors |
| 4/ Minor issues with genre, length, presentation, spelling/grammar |
| 2/ Significant issues with genre, length, presentation, spelling/grammar |
| 0/ Paper very poorly presented |

Comments :

/5 CODE
/40 REPORT

